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The Applied Population Laboratory at the University of Wisconsin, Madison prepared this report as part of a collaborative effort with University of Wisconsin Cooperative Extension.

Latinos in Wisconsin: A Statistical Overview relies principally on data from the 2010 Census and American Community Survey (ACS) to create a statistical portrait of Latinos in Wisconsin and draw comparisons with Wisconsin's total population through a series of charts, maps, and tables. Thematically the report focuses on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics of the Latino population such as size and distribution, age structure, composition of households and families, education, income and poverty, employment, housing, and health care. In a few instances the report includes time series data with the results of earlier Censuses. To supplement Census and ACS data sources, the report also draws on data from the Wisconsin Departments of Health Services and of Public Instruction.

A short narrative accompanies each chart and map, highlighting details in the data that might be of particular interest to readers. The highlights are not intended to be an exhaustive interpretation and thus leave much room for elaboration. Each figure also contains a source note and, in the case of ACS estimates, a link to the source table. These links are important in that they also provide an indication of the estimates' reliability as expressed by the Margins of Error (MOEs). For the sake of simplicity, figures in the report show estimates only without the associated MOEs. However, MOEs may be important to take into account when making statistical inferences with the data. At the end of the report is a table section, which, for selected figures, provides associated numeric data.

In making use of the data presented in this report, it is important to understand that race and ethnicity, as defined by federal and state government agencies, are separate concepts. Since the 1980 Census, "ethnicity" in census terms has referred to whether a person is of Hispanic or Latino origin or not of Hispanic or Latino origin. Therefore, people of a specific racial background (like white, black or American Indian) can be Latino or not Latino. Likewise, Latinos can be of any race. The figures presented in this report are for all Latinos of any race.

Finally, use of terminology and "labels" when talking about racial ethnic populations can be a sensitive issue. The authors of this report understand that Hispanic and Latino are often used interchangeably and there are some political, cultural and social preferences and implications in using one or the other term. As an umbrella category the wording of the most recent Census questionnaire uses the designation "Hispanic, Latino or Spanish Origin," however, to simplify the labelling of data in this report we have chosen to refer to this grouping as simply "Latino" throughout.

The principal authors of this report are David Long (dlong@ssc.wisc.edu) and Dan Veroff (dlveroff@wisc.edu) of the Applied Population Laboratory. With appreciation and thanks, the authors would like to acknowledge the support of Kristy Pfaffinger who assembled and prepared most of the data in this report. For more information about this report, please contact the authors.
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## Wisconsin's Latino Population

- In 2010, $5.9 \%$ of the Wisconsin population was Latino. Latino was the third largest race or ethnicity category, behind Non-Hispanic whites (83.3\%), and Non-Hispanic Blacks (6.2\%)
- Compared with other states, Wisconsin ranks near the middle among the states in terms of the number and proportion of Latino residents.
- Wisconsin's Latino population increased from 193,000 persons in 2000 to 336,000 in 2010. This was the largest numeric increase between Censuses to date.
- Southeastern Wisconsin continues to be the region where most Latinos reside, but the share that resides outside of the southeast region is growing.
- While the largest numeric increases between 2000 and 2010 were in counties already home to a greater number of Latinos, several counties with comparatively small Latino populations experienced dramatic percentage increases between 1990 and 2010.
- In 2010 over $70 \%$ of Wisconsin Latinos reported being of Mexican heritage.
- Migration has been an important driver of Latino population increase, however, the share of Latinos that were born in Wisconsin increased between 2000 and 2010.
- In 2010, Wisconsin's Latino population was much more likely than the total population to have moved either within the state or from outside of it.


## Age, Sex \& Household Structure

- Children and young adults comprise a much larger share of the population among Latinos when compared with the total population of Wisconsin.
- Among different categories of households, married couples with children and single parents with children comprised the largest shares of Latino households. Among the total population, married couples without children and persons living alone were the largest categories.
- Latino families and households were slightly larger than the average Wisconsin family or household.
- Among different family types in 2010 (with and without children), Latino families were more likely to be headed by a female (no husband present) than were the total population of families.


## Education \& Language

- Between 2000 and 2010, the estimated share of Latinos with less than a high school diploma declined from $45 \%$ to $40 \%$ but remained about 4 times greater than the corresponding share of the total population.
- Linguistic isolation declined slightly between 2000 and 2010 but remained a serious concern for Latinos in Wisconsin. In 2010, about 17\% of the Latino population spoke English "not well" or "not at all."
- The Milwaukee School District was still home to the largest number of limited-English proficient (LEP) Spanish-speaking students; however, the share of LEP students in smaller districts increased tremendously.
- Many of the school districts with larger proportions of Latino students lie in the southeast region of the state, however there were geographical outliers such as Abbotsford and Arcadia School Districts further west.


## Income \& Poverty

- Median household and family incomes in 2010 were vastly less for Latinos than for the total population ( $72 \%$ and $59 \%$ of the total populations' medians, respectively).
- Thirty-four percent of Latino households earned less than $\$ 25,000$ in 12 months prior to 2010 compared with $25 \%$ of total population households.
- The gender gap in earnings between male and female Latinos was smaller than in the total Wisconsin population at the time of the 2010 ACS. The earnings gap between Latino and total population males was over $\$ 14,000$. Between Latinas and total population females, the earnings gap is over $\$ 8,000$.
- The Latino poverty rate increased significantly between the 2000 Census and 2010 ACS measures. 2010 ACS measures suggest that more than one fourth of the Latino population lived in poverty. The combination of lower earnings and larger family size contributed to a greater risk of poverty for Latinos.
- In 2010 Latino men and women had rates of unemployment that were roughly one and a half times those of their counterparts in the total Wisconsin population.


## Housing

- Among Latino homeowners, the median house value was about $77 \%$ of the median value for all owner-occupied homes in Wisconsin. The rate of homeownership was $41 \%$ for Latinos compared with $68 \%$ for the total population. The rates of housing cost burden were similar among total and Latino population renters, but much higher for Latino homeowners than for the total population.


## Health

- Latinos in Wisconsin were significantly less likely to be insured throughout the year than nonLatinos in 2010.

Understanding Race and Hispanic Origin designations in the Census:
See the Census Brief titled Overview of Race and Hispanic Origin: 2010:
www.census.gov/prod/cen2010/briefs/c2010br-02.pdf

## Access Census \& American Community Survey Data:

The US Census Bureau offers a wealth of Census and American Community Survey (ACS) data about the Latino population at a range of geographic scales through its web portal: factfinder2.census.gov

## Mapping Latinos in Wisconsin:

APL's web mapping and data extraction tool that allows users to access race and ethnic population data and maps for a wide range of Wisconsin geographic scales: www.getfacts.wisc.edu

## Examining County Level Net Migration Patterns:

Net Migration data for all US Counties including breakouts for the Latino population are available here: www.netmigration.wisc.edu

## Data on Latinos in Wisconsin Schools:

Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction also offers some insight on Latino students in Wisconsin schools through their data portal: http://wisedash.dpi.wi.gov/

## Applied Population Laboratory Reports about Latinos in Wisconsin:

This and other previous versions Wisconsin Latino Chartbooks are available on the links below:
www.apl.wisc.edu/publications/ Latino_Chartbook_2010.pdf
www.apl.wisc.edu/publications/HispanicChartbook_2000.pdf
www.apl.wisc.edu/publications/Hispanics_in_WI_1980.pdf
County-level Latino population briefs for Wisconsin are available here:
www.apl.wisc.edu/latino_briefs.php

## Pew Center Reports on Latinos:

Pew Research Hispanic Center produces a variety of reports detailing trends in the Hispanic population at both state and national levels: www.pewhispanic.org

Chart 1. Population by Race and Ethnicity, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 1 shows population shares by race and Latino origin. Race and ethnicity categories can be classified in a number of ways, and though Latino is not officially considered a race category by the Census Bureau, persons of Latino origin are often counted as a reference group alongside non-Latinos belonging to one of the official race categories (shown above).

When classified into these categories, Latinos are the third largest group in Wisconsin, after nonHispanic Whites and African Americans.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 1 for chart data
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## Chart 2. Latino Population in Wisconsin: 1980-2010



Chart 2 depicts the rapid growth of Wisconsin's Latino population over the 30 year period between 1980 and 2010. The last 10 year interval showed the highest overall growth rate (74\%). Actual year to year change, influenced in part by economic conditions in Mexico and the U.S., has been more erratic than the 10 year trajectory implies. Demographers observed a significant reduction of migration from Mexico related to the recession which started in 2007.

Source: 1980, 1990, 2000 \& 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing, U.S. Census Bureau

## Map 1. Percent Latino, U.S. States: 2010



Map 1 shows the Latino population as a percent of the total population for all states. When compared with other states in terms of the percent and count of Latino persons, Wisconsin falls roughly in the middle. In 2010, it ranked $30^{\text {th }}$ in terms of percent Latino and $24^{\text {th }}$ in terms of the count of Latino persons.

# Maps 2 \& 3. Latino Population, Wisconsin Counties: 2000 \& 2010 



Maps 2 and 3 show the number of Latino persons in Wisconsin counties in 2000 and 2010. In both 2000 and 2010, Latinos resided predominantly in the southeastern portion of the state. The Latino population increased significantly between 2000 and 2010, however, the general pattern of Latino concentration in the southeast remained similar.

Milwaukee County is shown in its own color category. It was distinct in having more than three times the Latino population of the second highest county both in 2000 and 2010. Although only Milwaukee County had more than 15,000 Latinos in 2000, by 2010 there were five Wisconsin counties with more than 15,000 Latinos: Milwaukee $(126,039)$, Dane $(28,925)$, Racine $(22,546)$, Kenosha $(19,592)$, Brown $(19,985)$, and Waukesha $(16,123)$.

Source: 200 \& 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 3 for map data

## Maps 4 \& 5. Percent Latino, Wisconsin Counties: 2000 \& 2010



Maps 4 and 5 show Latinos as a percent of each Wisconsin county's total population. As with the maps of Latino population counts, the distribution in terms of percent is concentrated in the southeastern counties.

In 2000, the Latino population was less than $10 \%$ of the total in every Wisconsin county. By 2010 the Latino population had more than doubled in many counties, and was greater than $10 \%$ of the total in four counties: Milwaukee (13.3\%), Kenosha (11.8\%), Racine (11.5\%), and Walworth (10.3\%).

Source: 200 \& 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau See Table 3 for map data

## Maps 6 \& 7. Latino Population Change, Wisconsin Counties: 1990-2010



Maps 6 and 7 show the numeric change in the Latino population from 1990 to 2000 and from 2000 to 2010. Again, the pattern of growth parallels the existing centers of Latino population, with the greatest numeric increases occurring in counties in the southeast portion of the state. The larger coverage of darker red on the 2000-2010 map indicates that more counties experienced sizable numeric increases in this decade than in the previous one. Milwaukee County is shown in gray again to highlight the more dramatic numeric increase that occurred there in the two decades leading up to 2010.

Between 2000 and 2010, five counties experienced an increase of greater than 5,000 persons in their Latino populations: Milwaukee (43,633), Dane (14,538), Brown (9,287), Kenosha ( 8,835 ), Racine $(7,556)$, Waukesha $(6,620)$ and Rock $(6,171)$.

Source: 1990, 200 \& 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau See Table 3 for map data
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## Maps 8 \& 9. Percent Change in Latino Population, Wisconsin Counties: 1990-2010



Maps 8 and 9 show the percent change in the Latino population from 1990 to 2000 and from 2000 to 2010. While it is important to keep in mind the small Latino base populations in some rural Wisconsin counties, these maps highlight large relative increases in Latino population. In many instances counties with the largest percent changes were far removed from the existing Latino population centers.
Between 2000 and 2010, the most dramatic rate of change occurred in Trempeleau County, where the Latino population of 240 grew by $595 \%$, to 1,667 persons. Lafayette County's Latino population grew the second fastest ( $467 \%$ ), increasing from 92 to 522 persons over the same ten year span.

Source: 1990, 200 \& 2010 Censuses of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 3 for map data

## Chart 3. Distribution of Wisconsin's Latino Population, Wisconsin Counties: 2010



Chart 3 shows the distribution of Wisconsin's Latino population among counties. Milwaukee County contains by far the largest share, representing almost $38 \%$ of all Wisconsin Latinos. Milwaukee County is followed by Dane, Racine, Kenosha and Brown counties, each with between $5 \%$ and $9 \%$ of the Latino population in 2010. Together, the eight counties specified on the chart above were home to nearly $80 \%$ of Wisconsin's Latinos in 2000. Although the data presented in this chart underscore the concentration of Latinos in a few counties, the distribution of Latinos across Wisconsin counties has expanded slightly since 1980 , when $79 \%$ of Latinos resided within only 7 counties, and $47 \%$ lived in Milwaukee County alone.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 4 for chart data

[^4]Chart 4. Urban/Rural Residence, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 4 illustrates the differences in urban and rural residence between Latinos and the total Wisconsin population. Whereas almost $30 \%$ of Wisconsin's total population resided in a rural area in 2010, just over $10 \%$ of the Latino population resided in areas designated as rural. While the share of Wisconsinites living in urban areas increased two percentage points between 2000 and 2010, the share of Latinos living in urban areas declined slightly (0.4\%).

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 5 for chart data

## Chart 5. Heritage of Latinos, Wisconsin: 2010



Census 2010 tabulated numerous sub-groups representing distinct regional identities within the Latino population. Chart 5 shows seven heritage subgroups: Mexican, Puerto Rican, South American, Central American, Cuban, Dominican Republic, and the remainder category, Other Hispanic or Latino.

Wisconsin Latinos were predominantly of Mexican heritage in 2010 ( $73 \%$ ). The Mexican share increased significantly since 2000 when persons of Mexican heritage constituted only $66 \%$ of Wisconsin Latinos. The next largest category, Puerto Rican, is considerably smaller (14\%), but still a significant share relative to the other four specified categories, each representing $3 \%$ or less of the Latino population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 6 for chart data
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## Chart 6. Birthplace of Latinos, Wisconsin: 2000-2010



Chart 6 illustrates the place of birth estimates for Wisconsin Latinos in 2000 and 2010. Over the ten year period shown, there was a significant increase in the share of Latinos that were Wisconsin born and a similar decline in the share born outside the U.S. By 2010 the share of Latinos born in Wisconsin was approaching one half ( $45 \%$ ).

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 7 for chart data and the URL below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B060041/0400000US55

## Chart 7. Birthplace of Persons Born in Latin America, Wisconsin: 2000-2010



Chart 7 shows place of birth for those born in Latin America in 2000 and 2010. The estimates suggest sizeable increases in the Latin American-born population from Mexico, South America, and Other Central America. The number of Wisconsin residents born in South and Central America approximately doubled between 2000 and 2010. Still, the numeric increase among Mexican-born persons dwarfs the other categories, and Mexican-born persons account for $80 \%$ of current Wisconsin residents born in Latin America.

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 8 for chart data and the URL below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B05007/0400000US55

Chart 8. Year of Entry for Persons Born in Latin America by Region, Wisconsin: 2010


The American Community Survey asked all people born outside the United States to report the year in which they came to live in the United States. Chart 8 shows the resulting tabulation for those born in Latin America who now live in Wisconsin, highlighting the relatively recent entry of those born in Mexico, Central America, and South America. The large proportion of recent entries is most pronounced in the case of persons born in Mexico, where those entering between 2000 and 2010 were nearly equal to the Mexican born total from all prior decades.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 9 for chart data and the URL below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B05007/0400000US55

[^6]Chart 9. Movers in the Past Year by Previous Residence, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 9 shows the proportion of the population that moved in the prior year according to the 2010 American Community Survey estimates. The differences between Latinos and the total population were most pronounced in the categories of those who moved to another location within the same county and those who moved from a different country. Latinos were more than 1.5 times as likely to have moved within the same county and more than twice as likely to have moved from a different country.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 10 for chart data and the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B07001/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B07004I/0400000US55

## Chart 10. Population by Age and Sex, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 10 illustrates the age differences between the total Wisconsin population and Latino population in Wisconsin. In the total population age pyramid (shown left) the largest categories were among the "Baby Boom" generation (ages 46 through 64) in the year 2010. The Latino age pyramid (shown on the right) has a much broader base owing to higher overall fertility rates and higher rates of Wisconsin inmigration among young persons.

The lowest age categories, boys and girls under age five, together represented $13 \%$ of the total Latino population. The same age group constituted only $6 \%$ of the total Wisconsin population. Within the upper age categories, the age contrast between the Latino and total Wisconsin populations was even more stark. Persons over age 65 comprised only $3 \%$ of the Latino population, compared with $14 \%$ of Wisconsin's total population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 11 for chart data

[^7]Chart 11. Median Age by Sex, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 11 illustrates median ages for the total Wisconsin population and Latinos. The median ages for Latino males and females ( 24 and 23 years) were significantly lower than those for the total population ( 37 and 40 years). For males, the difference is greater than 13 years; for females, the gap widens to nearly 17 years.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau

## Chart 12. Types of Family and Non-Family Living Arrangements, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 12 shows the proportions of Latino households and total Wisconsin households in various family and non-family living arrangements. According to Census definitions, a family household consists of two or more people (one of whom is the householder) related by birth, marriage, or adoption residing in the same housing unit. A non-family household consists of a householder living alone (a one-person household) or where the householder shares the home exclusively with people to whom he/she is not related. The data indicate that $77 \%$ of Latino households were families, compared with $64 \%$ of total Wisconsin households. Thus, a smaller proportion of Latino households were non-family households (persons who live alone or with someone not related by marriage, blood or adoption).

The chart also shows that roughly one-third (33\%) of Latino households were married couples with children under age 18 , compared to less than one-fifth (20\%) of the total households. The proportion of single parents among Latino households is more than double that of the total population ( $21 \%$ versus $9 \%$. Finally, almost one-third of the total households (30\%) were married couples with no children, but such arrangements were less than half as common among Latino households.
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## Chart 13. Average Family and Household Size, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 13 shows the differences in average family and household sizes among the total Wisconsin and Latino populations. Among Latinos, the average number of persons per family is 3.8 , compared with 3.0 persons per family for the total Wisconsin population. The difference in the average household size is slightly greater, ranging from 3.4 persons for Latinos households to an average of 2.4 for the total population.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau

Chart 14. Households with Non-Relatives, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 14 illustrates the prevalence of households that include one or more non-relatives. Non-relatives are any household members that were not related to the householder by birth, marriage, or adoption, including foster children. Among Latino households non-relatives were present at nearly twice the rate shown among total Wisconsin households ( $21 \%$ versus $12 \%$ ).

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau

## Chart 15. Female Headed Households as a share of selected Family Types, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 15 shows the proportions of families with a female householder (no husband present). Compared with total Wisconsin families, Latino families were significantly more likely to have a female householder. This is true for all three of the family types shown above. Within all families, $25 \%$ of Latino families had female householders versus only $16 \%$ for total Wisconsin families. Among families with related children under 18 years, females headed $27 \%$ of Latino families and $23 \%$ of Wisconsin's total families. There was a similar difference among families with children under six wherein females headed $22 \%$ of total Wisconsin families and $27 \%$ of Latino families.

Source: 2010 Census of Population and Housing U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 13 for chart data
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## Chart 16. Women Ages 15 to 50 Who had a Birth in the Past 12 Months, Wisconsin 2010



Chart 16 shows the percentage of women ages 15 through 50 that had a birth within the past 12 months. The percentage of Latinas is nearly double that of the total population. This difference is attributable partly to the overall differences in family size between Latinos and the total population, but also to the larger proportion of Latinas of prime childbearing age (age 18-35).
Because marital status is an important predictor of life outcomes for children, the ACS also tabulates births according to marital status (not shown here). Among total births, about $32 \%$ were to unmarried women versus an estimated $48 \%$ among Latinas.

## Chart 17. Educational Attainment among Persons 25 Years or Older, Wisconsin: 2000 \& 2010



Chart 17 highlights significant differences between formal educational attainment of persons age 25 years and older in the Latino population and the total Wisconsin population. For the year 2010, the percentage of Latinos with less than a high school diploma (40\%) was four times larger than the percentage of the total Wisconsin population in that category ( $10 \%$ ).

The chart also highlights the changes within each population between 2000 and 2010. Educational attainment increased between 2000 and 2010 for the total population and for Latinos in some groups. The percentage of the total Wisconsin population that had at least a high school diploma increased from $85 \%$ to $90 \%$ during this time. For Latinos the percentage with a high school diploma increased from $55 \%$ to $60 \%$.

> Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
> See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
> http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/So201/0400000US55
> http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/So201/0400000US55/popgroup~400
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## Chart 18. Language Spoken at Home for Latino Persons 5 Years and Over, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 18 shows the proportion of Latinos age 5 years and over who speak Spanish at home and, among Spanish speakers, the proportion of persons with varying degrees of English-speaking proficiency. The first slice shows that about one-third (34\%) of Latinos speak English at home. The second pie shows that among those who speak Spanish at home, most report speaking English "well" or "very well." Persons who speak Spanish at home and do not speak English well, however, still comprise a significant share ( $17 \%$ ) of the Latino population. And while this linguistically isolated group has grown in number between 2000 and 2010, they represented a smaller share of the Latino population in 2010 ( $17 \%$ in 2010 versus $21 \%$ in 2000).

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
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## Chart 19. Top Ten Districts in Limited-English Proficient Spanish-Speaking Students, Wisconsin: 2012



Chart 19 uses Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction data to illustrate the total number of limitedEnglish proficient (LEP) Spanish speaking students for the ten districts with the highest counts. The Milwaukee district, with 5,889 Spanish-speaking LEP students, contains approximately $18 \%$ of Wisconsin's total. While the number of LEP students in the Milwaukee district has grown by over 800 students since 1999, a more dramatic increase has occurred in other, smaller districts. Many of the districts shown have experienced a $400 \%$ or greater increase in LEP students since 1999. Perhaps even more telling, the number of LEP Spanish students outside of the top 10 districts grew from about 1,900 in 1999, to over 11,000 in 2012. These changes were driven in part by the dispersal of the recent immigrants to smaller cities and communities around the state.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction website:
http://data.dpi.state.wi.us/data/

## Chart 20. Limited-English Proficient Spanish-Speaking Students by Grade Groups, Wisconsin: 2012



Also drawing on data from the Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction, Chart 20 shows a breakdown by grade grouping of Wisconsin's Spanish-speaking students with limited English proficiency (LEP). Moving from the K-5 group into the higher grade levels, the chart indicates a diminishing number of LEP students. The decline of the LEP student population in higher grades may suggest that Spanishspeaking students gain proficiency as they advance into higher grades; however, declining attendance rates may also be a contributing factor.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction website:
http://data.dpi.state.wi.us/data/

## Chart 21. Top Ten Districts in Percent Latino Students <br> Wisconsin: 2011-2012



School Districts

Chart 21 draws on Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction data related to students' ethnicity. Delavan-Darien School district stands out among the rest, for having a student body that is approaching $50 \%$ Latino, almost 10 percentage points more than the next highest district. All but one of the nine remaining districts have student populations that were over one fourth Latino.

Source: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction website:
http://data.dpi.state.wi.us/data/

Chart 22. Latino Percentage of Total Student Population in Urban, Surburban, and Rural School Districts, Wisconsin: 2012


Chart 22 shows the Latino share of the total student population in each of 3 categories of school district: urban, suburban, and rural. Nearly one fifth of Wisconsin's urban district students were Latino. Among Suburban and Rural district students the Latino proportions were much smaller (6\%).

## Source: Wisconsin Department of Public Instruction website:

http://data.dpi.state.wi.us/data/

Chart 23. Median Household and Family Income, Wisconsin: 2010


Because the Census Bureau does not collect data specifically on wealth, income is a commonly used measure of material well-being. Chart 23 shows a comparison between median household and family incomes for Latino and total Wisconsin households and families in 2010. The total household median is $\$ 49,000$ and the Latino household median is just over $\$ 35,000$, or about $72 \%$ of the total Wisconsin household median. The gap in median family income is wider, with total median family income at over $\$ 62,000$ and the Latino median family income at just over $\$ 36,000$. In this case, the Latino median is only $59 \%$ of the total Wisconsin median. The larger household and family sizes among Latinos exacerbates the effect of these disparities on individuals.
Comparison with 1999 income data (not shown) suggests that, even without inflation adjustments, Latino income for both categories was comparatively stagnant. Over the same period, the total population incomes increased, thereby widening the income gap over that of the previous decade.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URL below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55/popgroup~400
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## Chart 24. Household Income Distribution, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 24 provides a more nuanced view of differences in household income, showing the percentages of households within specified income ranges for both Latino and total Wisconsin households. A larger share of Latino households is found in both of the income categories below $\$ 50,000$. Among incomes of $\$ 50,000$ and above, the relative difference between total Wisconsin and Latino household incomes within each category tends to increase, particularly in the upper ranges. When grouped together, the share of households in the $\$ 50,000$ and above income categories represent $49 \%$ of total Wisconsin households though only $33 \%$ of Latino households.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 15 for chart data and the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B19001/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B190011/0400000US55

Chart 25. Median Earnings by Sex among Year-Round, Full-Time Workers, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 25 shows a breakdown of earnings by sex for the total and Latino workers. Latino males earn about 68\% of what total males earn, and for Latinas that figure is about $76 \%$. The "gender gap" is much more pronounced in the total Wisconsin population than it is among Latinos. Earnings for female workers in the total Wisconsin population were approximately $78 \%$ that of total male workers, while earnings for Latino female workers were nearly $87 \%$ of their male counterparts.

As with family and household incomes, comparison with the 1999 income data (not shown) reveals that earnings for males and females in the total Wisconsin population have increased significantly (from $\$ 37,000$ and $\$ 26,000$ in 1999) whereas gains for Latinos (from $\$ 26,000$ and $\$ 22,000$ in 1999) have been more modest.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

[^14]Chart 26: Population in Poverty, Wisconsin: 1999-2010


Chart 26 illustrates that in both 1999 and 2010 the poverty rate for Latinos was more than double the poverty rate for the total population. The poverty rate for the total population increased by four percentage points (from $9 \%$ to $13 \%$ ) whereas the poverty rate for Latinos experienced a six percentage point increase (from $22 \%$ to $28 \%$ ). In 2010 over one quarter of Wisconsin Latinos were in poverty.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for margin of error
Figures are not adjusted for inflation
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17001/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B170011/0400000US55

## Chart 27: Population in Poverty by Age, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 27 shows the breakdown of poverty rates by age for Latinos and the total Wisconsin population. For the total Wisconsin population, $23 \%$ of people under five year live in poverty. For Latinos of the same age, the rate is nearly twice as high (40\%). For both populations, the poverty rates tend to decline with age.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for margin of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17001/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17001//0400000US55

Chart 28. Female Householder Families in Poverty by Presence of Related Children, Wisconsin: 2010


Families with a female householder (no husband present) represent a significant and increasing share of Wisconsin households both for Latinos and the total population. They were also more likely to be in poverty than married couples or male householder families (not shown).

Chart 28 shows the poverty rates for Latinos and the total Wisconsin population for female-headed families in selected categories. There were significant differences in each family category. Among those with related children under 18 , the Latino poverty rate was 17 percentage points higher. The differences were less for the other two categories. Among female-headed families with no related children under 18 years poverty rates were considerably lower for total population and Latina households.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17010/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17010I/0400000US55
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## Chart 29. Latino Poverty Status by Household Type, Wisconsin: 1999-2010



Chart 29 highlights changes in poverty status among Latino household types between 1999 and 2010. Poverty rates were highest (and rising) among married couples with children and among female householders. Estimated poverty rates for married couples without children and male householders were comparatively small and suggestive of a slight decline.

Note: 2010 income data reporting is based on income in the past 12 months
Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17010/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B17010l/0400000US55

## Chart 30. Employment Status for Persons Age 16 and Over by Sex, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 30 shows three categories of employment status, broken down by sex, for both the total Wisconsin and Latino populations age 16 years and older. Especially when considering labor force statistics, it is important to keep in mind the differences in the population age, sex, and household composition of populations that may influence their labor force participation.
The percentage of males not in the labor force is significantly lower for Latinos than for the total Wisconsin population. The larger percentage of total males outside the labor force is due partly to the higher proportion of those of retirement age. The lower labor force participation rate among total females and Latinas is likely to have resulted from different social forces. High proportions of retirement aged women is a more significant factor in the lower participation rates for total females, whereas high proportions of mothers with young children is a larger contributor to the lower rates among Latinas.

> Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
> See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
> http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23001/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B230021/0400000US55

## Chart 31. Unemployment Rate by Sex for Civilian Labor Force <br> Age 16 and Over, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 31 shows unemployment rates broken down by sex, for both the total Wisconsin and Latino populations age 16 years and older. Unemployment rates typically represent the proportion of the civilian labor force that is unemployed and actively seeking employment and are distinct from the proportion of persons unemployed shown in the previous chart.
For both the total and Latino populations unemployment rates were higher for men than for women. The unemployment rates for Latinos of each sex were roughly one and a half times the rates of the total population.

> Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
> See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
> http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23001/0400000US55
> http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23002l/0400000US55

## Chart 32. Latino Employment Status for Persons Age 16 and Over, Wisconsin: 2000-2010



Chart 32 highlights differences in Latino employment status by sex between 2000 and 2010. The most notable changes between 2000 and 2010 were among Latino males. There was a significant increase in the share of Latino males in the labor force ( $72 \%$ to $80 \%$ ). The share of Latino females in the labor force also increased but by a smaller percentage (60\% to 65\%).

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23001/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23002I/0400000US55

## Chart 33. Employment Status for Persons Age 16 and Over, Wisconsin: 2000 and 2010



Chart 33 provides a view of differences in labor market status in the total Wisconsin and Latino populations in both 2000 and 2010. The total population experienced a four percentage point decline in the share of persons employed, owing largely to a doubling of the proportion of persons unemployed. Over the same interval Latinos experienced an increase in the share of persons employed along with an increase in the share that were unemployed; together these produced a six percentage point decline in the share of persons not in the labor force in 2010.

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B23001/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B230021/0400000US55

## Chart 34. Occupation of Employed Persons, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 34 shows the differences between the total and Latino workers across 5 occupational groupings. For the total workers Management, business, science and arts is the largest category with $34 \%$ of workers in this group. For Latino workers, Service is the largest category followed closely by Production, transportation, and material moving group, with $28 \%$ and $26 \%$ or workers, respectively.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B24010/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/B24010I/0400000US55
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# Chart 35. Percent of Employed Persons by Industry, Wisconsin: 2010 



Chart 35 shows the percentage of total and Latino workers by industry of employment. A greater proportion of Latinos were employed in Manufacturing (over 23\%) than in any other industry. The biggest percentage point difference between Latinos and the total population is in Arts, entertainment, and recreation, and accommodation and food services which employs $18 \%$ of the Latino workforce and only $9 \%$ of the total Wisconsin workforce.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

Chart 36. Median Housing Unit Value, Wisconsin: 2000-2010


Chart 36 shows changes in Median home value for total and Latino householders in owner-occupied units for both 2000 and 2010. The housing value for Latino householders in 2000 was about $\$ 20,000$ less than for the total population. By 2010 house values had grown significantly for both groups, but the difference between them increased to nearly $\$ 40,000$.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55 http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/So201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

## Chart 37. Owner Occupied Housing Units, <br> Wisconsin: 2000 \& 2010



Chart 37 shows differences in homeownership between the total and Latino populations in 2000 and 2010. Homeownership rates remained stable for the total population at $68 \%$. Despite a 4 percentage point increase in homeownership among Latinos, the 2010 rate remained 27 percentage points lower than the total population.

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/So201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

## Chart 38. High Housing Cost Burden Households for Renters and Owners



Chart 38 shows the percentage of owner and renter households where costs exceed $30 \%$ of the household income. According to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, "the generally accepted definition of affordability is for a household to pay no more than $30 \%$ of its annual income on housing. Families who pay more than $30 \%$ of their income for housing are considered cost burdened and may have difficulty affording necessities such as food, clothing, transportation and medical care." Among renters a similar percentage of total and Latino households were considered cost burdened. It is worth noting that college students may comprise a significant share of the rent burdened population particularly for the total population. Among homeowners the percentage of Latinos who were burdened was estimated to be $15 \%$ greater than the total population.

Source: 2000 Census of Population and Housing \& 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

## Chart 39. Latino Owned Firms by Classification, Wisconsin: 2007.



Chart 39 shows the number of Latino-owned firms in Wisconsin as tabulated in the 2007 Economic Census. It suggests that there were 5,044 Latino-owned firms in 2007, the majority of which were in the Construction category.

Source: 2007 Survey of Business Owners, U.S. Census Bureau
See Table 16 for chart data

## Chart 40. Total and Latino-Owned Firms by Paid Employee Status, Wisconsin: 2007



Chart 40 displays the proportion of Total firms and Latino-owned firms with paid employees. These data, also from the 2007 Economic Census, show that $83 \%$ of Latino-owned firms have no paid employees, suggesting perhaps, that the vast majority of Latino-owned businesses were operated by a sole owner-operator. Among all firms the share without paid employees is $75 \%$.

Source: 2007 Survey of Business Owners, U.S. Census Bureau

## Chart 41. Health Insurance Coverage, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 41 displays the rates of health insurance coverage in 2010 according to data from the Wisconsin Department of Health Services.

These estimates show that Latinos were over three times more likely to lack year round health insurance than non-Latino Whites ( $33 \%$ and $9 \%$, respectively).

> See the URL below for source detail and margins of error http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/publications/p4/p45369.pdf
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## Chart 42. General Physical and Dental Exams by Race/Ethnicity, Wisconsin: 2010



Chart 42 shows differences in preventive care, measured by general physical and dental exams. The estimates shown indicate that Latinos were less likely than other groups to have either general physical or dental exams. These results should be interpreted with caution due to small sample size, particularly among Latinos.

See the URL below for source detail and margins of error http://www.dhs.wisconsin.gov/publications/p4/p45369.pdf

Chart 43. Uninsured Persons by Age Group, Wisconsin: 2010


Chart 43 shows the percentage of persons in each age group who were uninsured. Among children the number of uninsured Latinos is estimated to be more than double that of the total population ( $11 \%$ versus $5 \%$, respectively). Among adults age 18 to 64 , the share who were uninsured jumps to nearly three times the rate for the total population. Persons 65 and over have the smallest share of uninsured persons; for the total population it is estimated at less than $1 \%$ versus $4 \%$ for Latinos.

Source: 2010 American Community Survey, U.S. Census Bureau
See the URLs below for 2010 ACS margins of error
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55
http://factfinder2.census.gov/bkmk/table/1.0/en/ACS/10_1YR/S0201/0400000US55/popgroup~400

## Supplementary Data Tables 1-16

This section includes supplementary tables to accompany the charts in this report. Only a subset of the charts and themes covered in this report have an accompanying table. The authors included tabular data only in cases instances where tables provided additional insights into the topic.

As with the charts, tables based on American Community Survey estimates have Margins of Error (MOEs) associated with them. Users can access the MOEs on the American Factfinder website, using the links referred to in the charts section.

Table 1. Wisconsin Population by Race and Ethnicity: 2010

| Race / Latino Origin | Persons | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Not Hispanic or Latino: | $5,350,930$ | $94.1 \%$ |
| White alone | $4,738,411$ | $83.3 \%$ |
| Black or African American alone | 350,898 | $6.2 \%$ |
| American Indian and Alaska Native alone | 48,511 | $0.9 \%$ |
| Asian alone | 128,052 | $2.3 \%$ |
| Native Hawaiian and Other Pacific Islander alone | 1,565 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Some Other Race alone | 4,095 | $0.1 \%$ |
| Two or More Races | 79,398 | $1.4 \%$ |
| Hispanic or Latino: | $\mathbf{3 3 6 , 0 5 6}$ | $5.9 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{5 , 6 8 6 , 9 8 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

## Table 2. Total and Latino Population by State: 2010

| State | Total Population | Latino Population | Percent Latino | Rank |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| New Mexico | $1,819,046$ | 765,386 | $46.3 \%$ | 1 |
| Texas | $20,851,820$ | $6,669,666$ | $37.6 \%$ | 2 |
| California | $33,871,648$ | $10,966,556$ | $37.6 \%$ | 3 |
| Arizona | $5,130,632$ | $1,295,617$ | $29.6 \%$ | 4 |
| Nevada | $1,998,257$ | 393,970 | $26.5 \%$ | 5 |
| Florida | $15,982,378$ | $2,682,715$ | $22.5 \%$ | 6 |
| Colorado | $4,301,261$ | 735,601 | $20.7 \%$ | 7 |
| New Jersey | $8,414,350$ | $1,117,191$ | $17.7 \%$ | 8 |
| New York | $18,976,457$ | $2,867,583$ | $17.6 \%$ | 9 |
| Illinois | $12,419,293$ | $1,530,262$ | $15.8 \%$ | 10 |
| Connecticut | $3,405,565$ | 320,323 | $13.4 \%$ | 11 |
| Utah | $2,233,169$ | 201,559 | $13.0 \%$ | 12 |
| Rhode Island | $1,048,319$ | 90,820 | $12.4 \%$ | 13 |
| Oregon | $3,421,399$ | 275,314 | $11.7 \%$ | 14 |
| Washington | $5,894,121$ | 441,509 | $11.2 \%$ | 15 |
| Idaho | $1,293,953$ | 101,690 | $11.2 \%$ | 16 |
| Kansas | $2,688,418$ | 188,252 | $10.5 \%$ | 17 |
| Massachusetts | $6,349,097$ | 428,729 | $9.6 \%$ | 18 |
| Nebraska | $1,711,263$ | 94,425 | $9.2 \%$ | 19 |
| Wyoming | 493,782 | 31,669 | $8.9 \%$ | 20 |
| Hawaii | $1,211,537$ | 87,699 | $8.9 \%$ | 21 |
| Oklahoma | $3,450,654$ | 179,304 | $8.9 \%$ | 22 |
| Georgia | $8,186,453$ | 435,227 | $8.8 \%$ | 23 |
| North Carolina | $8,049,313$ | 378,963 | $8.4 \%$ | 24 |
| Delaware | 783,600 | 37,277 | $8.2 \%$ | 25 |
| Maryland | $5,296,486$ | 227,916 | $8.2 \%$ | 26 |
| Virginia | $7,078,515$ | 329,540 | $7.9 \%$ | 27 |
| Arkansas | $2,673,400$ | 86,866 | $6.4 \%$ | 28 |
| Indiana | $6,080,485$ | 214,536 | $6.0 \%$ | 29 |
| Wisconsin | $5,363,675$ | 192,921 | $5.9 \%$ | 30 |
| Pennsylvania | $12,281,054$ | 394,088 | $5.7 \%$ | 31 |
| Alaska | 626,932 | 25,852 | $5.5 \%$ | 32 |
| Continued on next |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |


| Table 2 (Continued). Total and Latino Population by State: $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| State | Total Population | Latino Population | Percent Latino | Rank |
| South Carolina | $4,012,012$ | 95,076 | $5.1 \%$ | 33 |
| lowa | $2,926,324$ | 82,473 | $5.0 \%$ | 34 |
| Minnesota | $4,919,479$ | 143,382 | $4.7 \%$ | 35 |
| Tennessee | $5,689,283$ | 123,838 | $4.6 \%$ | 36 |
| Michigan | $9,938,444$ | 323,877 | $4.4 \%$ | 37 |
| Louisiana | $4,468,976$ | 107,738 | $4.2 \%$ | 38 |
| Alabama | $4,447,100$ | 75,830 | $3.9 \%$ | 39 |
| Missouri | $5,595,211$ | 118,592 | $3.5 \%$ | 40 |
| Ohio | $11,353,140$ | 217,123 | $3.1 \%$ | 41 |
| Kentucky | $4,041,769$ | 59,939 | $3.1 \%$ | 42 |
| Montana | 902,195 | 18,081 | $2.9 \%$ | 43 |
| New Hampshire | $1,235,786$ | 20,489 | $2.8 \%$ | 44 |
| Mississippi | $2,844,658$ | 39,569 | $2.7 \%$ | 45 |
| South Dakota | 754,844 | 10,903 | $2.7 \%$ | 46 |
| North Dakota | 642,200 | 7,786 | $2.0 \%$ | 47 |
| Vermont | 608,827 | 5,504 | $1.5 \%$ | 48 |
| Maine | $1,274,923$ | 9,360 | $1.3 \%$ | 49 |
| West Virginia | $1,808,344$ | 12,279 | $1.2 \%$ | 50 |

Table 3. Total and Latino County Population, Wisconsin: 1990-2010

| County | Total <br> Persons |  |  | Latino |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Persons |  |  | Percent Change |  |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 1990-2000 | 2000-2010 |
| Adams | 15,682 | 18,643 | 20,875 | 308 | 474 | 783 | 54\% | 65\% |
| Ashland | 16,307 | 16,866 | 16,157 | 106 | 188 | 302 | 77\% | 61\% |
| Barron | 40,750 | 44,963 | 45,870 | 164 | 430 | 862 | 162\% | 100\% |
| Bayfield | 14,008 | 15,013 | 15,014 | 50 | 91 | 158 | 82\% | 74\% |
| Brown | 194,594 | 226,778 | 248,007 | 1,525 | 8,698 | 17,985 | 470\% | 107\% |
| Buffalo | 13,584 | 13,804 | 13,587 | 42 | 85 | 237 | 102\% | 179\% |
| Burnett | 13,084 | 15,674 | 15,457 | 43 | 120 | 194 | 179\% | 62\% |
| Calumet | 34,291 | 40,631 | 48,971 | 149 | 435 | 1,690 | 192\% | 289\% |
| Chippewa | 52,360 | 55,195 | 62,415 | 174 | 289 | 800 | 66\% | 177\% |
| Clark | 31,647 | 33,557 | 34,690 | 116 | 404 | 1,292 | 248\% | 220\% |
| Columbia | 45,088 | 52,468 | 56,833 | 358 | 827 | 1,444 | 131\% | 75\% |
| Crawford | 15,940 | 17,243 | 16,644 | 67 | 129 | 150 | 93\% | 16\% |
| Dane | 367,085 | 426,526 | 488,073 | 5,744 | 14,387 | 28,925 | 150\% | 101\% |
| Dodge | 76,559 | 85,897 | 88,759 | 911 | 2,188 | 3,522 | 140\% | 61\% |
| Door | 25,690 | 27,961 | 27,785 | 153 | 267 | 671 | 75\% | 151\% |
| Douglas | 41,758 | 43,287 | 44,159 | 201 | 315 | 494 | 57\% | 57\% |
| Dunn | 35,909 | 39,858 | 43,857 | 188 | 335 | 626 | 78\% | 87\% |
| Eau Claire | 85,183 | 93,142 | 98,736 | 437 | 879 | 1,804 | 101\% | 105\% |
| Florence | 4,590 | 5,088 | 4,423 | 11 | 23 | 37 | 109\% | 61\% |
| Fond du Lac | 90,083 | 97,296 | 101,633 | 937 | 1,987 | 4,368 | 112\% | 120\% |
| Forest | 8,776 | 10,024 | 9,304 | 30 | 108 | 138 | 260\% | 28\% |
| Grant | 49,264 | 49,597 | 51,208 | 160 | 280 | 649 | 75\% | 132\% |
| Green | 30,339 | 33,647 | 36,842 | 119 | 327 | 1,033 | 175\% | 216\% |
| Green Lake | 18,651 | 19,105 | 19,051 | 192 | 393 | 743 | 105\% | 89\% |
| Iowa | 20,150 | 22,780 | 23,687 | 48 | 75 | 336 | 56\% | 348\% |
| Iron | 6,153 | 6,861 | 5,916 | 8 | 45 | 35 | 463\% | -22\% |
| Jackson | 16,588 | 19,100 | 20,449 | 145 | 357 | 519 | 146\% | 45\% |
| Jefferson | 67,783 | 74,021 | 83,686 | 1,160 | 3,031 | 5,555 | 161\% | 83\% |
| Juneau | 21,650 | 24,316 | 26,664 | 152 | 347 | 687 | 128\% | 98\% |
| Kenosha | 128,181 | 149,577 | 166,426 | 5,580 | 10,757 | 19,592 | 93\% | 82\% |
| Kewaunee | 18,878 | 20,187 | 20,574 | 54 | 153 | 463 | 183\% | 203\% |
| La Crosse | 97,904 | 107,120 | 114,638 | 640 | 990 | 1,741 | 55\% | 76\% |
| Lafayette | 16,076 | 16,137 | 16,836 | 37 | 92 | 522 | 149\% | 467\% |
| Langlade | 19,505 | 20,740 | 19,977 | 104 | 171 | 324 | 64\% | 89\% |
| Lincoln | 26,993 | 29,641 | 28,743 | 118 | 243 | 340 | 106\% | 40\% |
| Manitowoc | 80,421 | 82,887 | 81,442 | 582 | 1,343 | 2,565 | 131\% | 91\% |
| Continued on next page |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^18]Table 3 (Continued). Total and Latino County Populations, Wisconsin: 1990-2010

| County | Total <br> Persons |  |  | Latino |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Persons |  |  | Percent Change |  |
|  | 1990 | 2000 | 2010 | 1990 | 2000 | 2000 | 1990-2000 | 2000-2010 |
| Marathon | 115,400 | 125,834 | 134,063 | 470 | 979 | 2,992 | 108\% | 206\% |
| Marinette | 40,548 | 43,384 | 41,749 | 156 | 325 | 522 | 108\% | 61\% |
| Marquette | 12,321 | 15,832 | 15,404 | 149 | 215 | 391 | 44\% | 82\% |
| Menominee | 3,890 | 4,562 | 4,232 | 90 | 122 | 178 | 36\% | 46\% |
| Milwaukee | 959,275 | 940,164 | 947,735 | 44,672 | 82,406 | 126,039 | 84\% | 53\% |
| Monroe | 36,633 | 40,899 | 44,673 | 234 | 740 | 1,661 | 216\% | 124\% |
| Oconto | 30,226 | 35,634 | 37,660 | 107 | 240 | 519 | 124\% | 116\% |
| Oneida | 31,679 | 36,776 | 35,998 | 90 | 244 | 385 | 171\% | 58\% |
| Outagamie | 140,510 | 160,971 | 176,695 | 987 | 3,207 | 6,359 | 225\% | 98\% |
| Ozaukee | 72,831 | 82,317 | 86,395 | 519 | 1,073 | 1,956 | 107\% | 82\% |
| Pepin | 7,107 | 7,213 | 7,469 | 20 | 25 | 72 | 25\% | 188\% |
| Pierce | 32,765 | 36,804 | 41,019 | 196 | 301 | 623 | 54\% | 107\% |
| Polk | 34,773 | 41,319 | 44,205 | 131 | 329 | 656 | 151\% | 99\% |
| Portage | 61,405 | 67,182 | 70,019 | 572 | 967 | 1,853 | 69\% | 92\% |
| Price | 15,600 | 15,822 | 14,159 | 59 | 116 | 153 | 97\% | 32\% |
| Racine | 175,034 | 188,831 | 195,408 | 9,034 | 14,990 | 22,546 | 66\% | 50\% |
| Richland | 17,521 | 17,924 | 18,021 | 59 | 167 | 360 | 183\% | 116\% |
| Rock | 139,510 | 152,307 | 160,331 | 1,754 | 5,953 | 12,124 | 239\% | 104\% |
| Rusk | 15,079 | 15,347 | 14,755 | 85 | 116 | 173 | 36\% | 49\% |
| St. Croix | 50,251 | 63,155 | 84,345 | 192 | 483 | 1,692 | 152\% | 250\% |
| Sauk | 46,975 | 55,225 | 61,976 | 207 | 938 | 2,675 | 353\% | 185\% |
| Sawyer | 14,181 | 16,196 | 16,557 | 101 | 145 | 268 | 44\% | 85\% |
| Shawano | 37,157 | 40,664 | 41,949 | 129 | 407 | 905 | 216\% | 122\% |
| Sheboygan | 103,877 | 112,646 | 115,507 | 1,668 | 3,789 | 6,329 | 127\% | 67\% |
| Taylor | 18,901 | 19,680 | 20,689 | 42 | 127 | 316 | 202\% | 149\% |
| Trempealeau | 25,263 | 27,010 | 28,816 | 53 | 240 | 1,667 | 353\% | 595\% |
| Vernon | 25,617 | 28,056 | 29,773 | 98 | 186 | 394 | 90\% | 112\% |
| Vilas | 17,707 | 21,033 | 21,430 | 61 | 181 | 268 | 197\% | 48\% |
| Walworth | 75,000 | 93,759 | 102,228 | 2,017 | 6,136 | 10,578 | 204\% | 72\% |
| Washburn | 13,772 | 16,036 | 15,911 | 34 | 143 | 208 | 321\% | 45\% |
| Washington | 95,328 | 117,493 | 131,887 | 670 | 1,529 | 3,385 | 128\% | 121\% |
| Waukesha | 304,715 | 360,767 | 389,891 | 5,448 | 9,503 | 16,123 | 74\% | 70\% |
| Waupaca | 46,104 | 51,731 | 52,410 | 406 | 714 | 1,307 | 76\% | 83\% |
| Waushara | 19,385 | 23,154 | 24,496 | 379 | 848 | 1,329 | 124\% | 57\% |
| Winnebago | 140,320 | 156,763 | 166,994 | 1,144 | 3,065 | 5,784 | 168\% | 89\% |
| Wood | 73,605 | 75,555 | 74,749 | 386 | 709 | 1,680 | 84\% | 137\% |
| Wisconsin | 4,891,769 | 5,363,675 | 5,686,986 | 93,232 | 192,921 | 336,056 | 107\% | 74\% |

Table 4. County Latino Population as a Share of Total Latino Population, Wisconsin: 2010

| County | Latino <br> Population | Percent of Latino <br> Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Milwaukee | 126,039 | $38 \%$ |
| Dane | 28,925 | $9 \%$ |
| Racine | 22,546 | $7 \%$ |
| Kenosha | 19,592 | $6 \%$ |
| Brown | 17,985 | $5 \%$ |
| Waukesha | 16,123 | $5 \%$ |
| Rock | 12,124 | $4 \%$ |
| Walworth | 10,578 | $3 \%$ |
| Remaining Counties | 82,144 | $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ |
| Total | 336,056 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 5. Urban/Rural Residence, Wisconsin: 2010

|  | Total <br> Population | Percent of <br> Total | Latino <br> Population | Percent of Latino <br> Total |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Urban | $3,989,638$ | $70.2 \%$ | 301,980 | $89.9 \%$ |
| Rural | $1,697,348$ | $29.8 \%$ | 34,076 | $10.1 \%$ |
| Total | $5,686,986$ | $100.0 \%$ | 336,056 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |

Table 6. Heritage of Latinos, Wisconsin: 2010

| Latino Origin | Persons | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Mexican | 244,248 | $72.7 \%$ |
| Puerto Rican | 46,323 | $13.8 \%$ |
| South American | 10,616 | $3.2 \%$ |
| Central American | 9,675 | $2.9 \%$ |
| Cuban | 3,696 | $1.1 \%$ |
| Dominican | 1,786 | $0.5 \%$ |
| Other Hispanic or Latino | 19,712 | $5.8 \%$ |
| Total | 336,056 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |


| Table 7. Birthplace of Latinos, Wisconsin: $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ and 2010 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ |  |
|  | Persons | Percent | Persons | Percent |
|  | 76,787 | $40 \%$ | 123,879 | $37 \%$ |
| Born elsewhere in the United States | 38,499 | $20 \%$ | 65,040 | $19 \%$ |
| Born in Wisconsin | 75,763 | $40 \%$ | 147,648 | $44 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 9 1 , 0 4 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ | 336,567 | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 8. Foreign-Born Population by Place of Birth, Wisconsin: 2000 and 2010

|  | 2000 |  |  | 2010 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foreign-Born Population | Number | Percent of Total | Percent of Latin American Born | Number | Percent of Total | Percent of Latin American Born |
| Total Foreign-Born | 193,751 | 100\% | -- | 254,920 | 100\% | -- |
| Total From Latin America | 65,683 | 34\% | 100\% | 110,337 | 43\% | 100\% |
| Mexico | 53,684 | 28\% | 82\% | 88,650 | 35\% | 80\% |
| South America | 4,814 | 2\% | 7\% | 9,071 | 4\% | 8\% |
| Central America | 4,147 | 2\% | 6\% | 8,546 | 3\% | 8\% |
| Caribbean | 3,038 | 2\% | 5\% | 4,070 | 2\% | 4\% |

Table 9. Foreign-Born Population Originating in Latin America, By Place of Birth by Year of Entry, Wisconsin: 2000

|  | Before $\mathbf{1 9 8 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 8 0 - 1 9 8 9}$ | 1990-1999 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ or later |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mexico | 7,677 | 11,000 | 26,281 | 43,692 |
| Caribbean | 992 | 794 | 1,068 | 1,216 |
| Central America | 318 | 1,014 | 3,413 | 3,801 |
| South America | 1,273 | 1134 | 3,413 | 3,985 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 0 , 2 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 , 9 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 , 1 7 5}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 , 6 9 4}$ |

Table 10. Geographical Mobility in the Past Year among Persons One Year and Over, Wisconsin: 2010

|  | Total |  | Latino |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Same County | 520,015 | $9.2 \%$ | 47,557 | $14.4 \%$ |
| Different County | 171,577 | $3.1 \%$ | 8,641 | $2.6 \%$ |
| Different State | 93,065 | $1.7 \%$ | 7,351 | $2.2 \%$ |
| Different Country | 14,494 | $0.3 \%$ | 2,464 | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total Population | $5,623,196$ | $100.0 \%$ | 329,494 | $100.0 \%$ |

Table 11. Population by Age and Sex, Wisconsin: 2010

| Age Group | Total |  | Latino |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Male | Female | Male | Female |
|  | 183,391 | 175,052 | 22,576 | 21,635 |
| 5-9 years | 188,286 | 180,331 | 20,498 | 19,585 |
| 10-14 years | 192,232 | 183,695 | 17,182 | 16,496 |
| 15-19 years | 204,803 | 194,406 | 15,789 | 14,486 |
| $20-24$ years | 196,897 | 189,655 | 15,812 | 13,259 |
| $25-29$ years | 189,349 | 182,998 | 16,894 | 14,274 |
| $30-34$ years | 178,120 | 171,277 | 16,327 | 13,590 |
| $35-39$ years | 174,619 | 170,709 | 13,681 | 11,912 |
| $40-44$ years | 191,738 | 188,600 | 10,719 | 9,314 |
| $45-49$ years | 218,539 | 219,088 | 8,321 | 7,320 |
| $50-54$ years | 218,303 | 217,823 | 6,578 | 5,839 |
| $55-59$ years | 192,952 | 193,034 | 4,417 | 4,108 |
| $60-64$ years | 155,756 | 158,069 | 3,043 | 2,769 |
| $65-69$ years | 109,168 | 117,861 | 1,703 | 1,890 |
| $70-74$ years | 81,067 | 92,400 | 1,067 | 1,291 |
| $75-79$ years | 62,181 | 79,071 | 758 | 990 |
| $80-84$ years | 47,549 | 69,512 | 467 | 667 |
| $85+$ | 37,450 | 81,055 | 299 | 500 |
| All Ages | $2,822,400$ | $2,864,636$ | $\mathbf{1 7 6 , 1 3 1}$ | 159,925 |

Table 12. Types of Family and Non-Family Household Living Arrangements, Wisconsin: 2010

| Living Arrangement | Total Households |  | Latino Households |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| Family |  |  |  |  |
| Married Couples, No Children | 687,000 | $30.1 \%$ | 12,239 | $14.3 \%$ |
| Married Couples, With Children | 443,396 | $19.4 \%$ | 27,881 | $32.7 \%$ |
| Single Parent | 204,076 | $9.0 \%$ | 17,598 | $20.6 \%$ |
| Other Family | 133,497 | $5.9 \%$ | 7,692 | $9.0 \%$ |
| Non-Family |  |  |  |  |
| Live Alone | 642,507 | $28.2 \%$ | 13,238 | $15.5 \%$ |
| With Others | 168,344 | $7.4 \%$ | 6,688 | $7.8 \%$ |

Table 13. Percent Female Householders within Selected Categories, Wisconsin: 2000

|  | Total |  |  | Latino |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | All House- <br> holders | Female Headed <br> Householders | All House- <br> holders | Female Headed <br> Householders |  |  |
|  | Number | Number | Percent | Number | Number | Percent |
|  | $1,468,917$ | 233,948 | $15.9 \%$ | 65,410 | 16,030 | $24.5 \%$ |
| Families with related children under 18 years | 647,472 | 146,288 | $22.6 \%$ | 45,479 | 12,213 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Families with related children under 6 years only | 149,338 | 33,430 | $22.4 \%$ | 11,201 | 2,992 | $26.7 \%$ |

Table 14. Language Spoken at Home for Latinos Age 5 and Over, Wisconsin: 2010

| Language | Persons | Percent |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Speak only English | 100,568 | $34 \%$ |
| Speak Spanish and... | 191,380 | $66 \%$ |
| Speak English very well | 98,262 | $34 \%$ |
| Speak English well | 42,636 | $15 \%$ |
| Speak English not well | 39,336 | $13 \%$ |
| Speak English not at all | 11,146 | $4 \%$ |
| Speak other language | $\mathbf{1 , 2 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{0} \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{2 9 3 , 1 5 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 \%}$ |

Table 15. Household Income Distribution, Wisconsin: 2010

|  | Total |  | Latinos |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Household Income | Number | Percent | Number | Percent |
| $\$ 0-\$ 24,999$ | 549,905 | $24 \%$ | 27,291 | $34 \%$ |
| $\$ 25,000-\$ 49,999$ | 608,450 | $27 \%$ | 26,739 | $33 \%$ |
| $\$ 50,000-\$ 74,999$ | 456,952 | $20 \%$ | 14,929 | $18 \%$ |
| $\$ 75,000-\$ 99,999$ | 292,914 | $13 \%$ | 6,964 | $9 \%$ |
| $\$ 100,000-\$ 124,999$ | 166,568 | $7 \%$ | 2,849 | $4 \%$ |
| $\$ 125,000-\$ 149,999$ | 84,695 | $4 \%$ | 820 | $1 \%$ |
| $\$ 150,000-\$ 199,999$ | 66,454 | $3 \%$ | 776 | $1 \%$ |
| $\$ 200,000$ or more | 53,594 | $2 \%$ | 850 | $1 \%$ |
| Total | $2,279,532$ | $100 \%$ | 81,218 | $100 \%$ |

Table 16. Latino Owned Firms, Wisconsin: 2010

|  | Number |
| :--- | ---: |
| Construction | 913 |
| Other services (except public administration) | 748 |
| Admin. and support and waste mgmt. and remediation svcs. | 595 |
| Retail Trade | 580 |
| Professional, scientific, and technical services | 490 |
| Accommodation and food services | 440 |
| Transportation and warehousing | 341 |
| Arts, Entertainment, Recreation | 259 |
| Real Estate and rental leasing | 198 |
| Finance and Insurance | 174 |
| Wholesale Trade | 167 |
| Manufacturing | 89 |
| Information | 47 |
| Utilities | 3 |
| Total | 5,044 |

Note: counts were not available for Latino firms in the following categories:
Agriculture, forestry, fishing and hunting; Educational services
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